

Southbourne Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan Review. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan Review and supporting documents are available to view on Chichester District Council's website:

http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan.

All comments must be received by 5:00 pm on 3 June 2021.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Neighbourhood Planning East Pallant House 1 East Pallant Chichester PO19 1TY

Use of your personal data

All comments in Part B below will be publicly available and identifiable by name and (where applicable) organisation. Please note that any other personal information included in Part A below will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the principles and rights set out in the General Data Protection Regulation 2016 (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018, which cover such things as why and for how long we use, keep and look after your personal data.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying to which paragraph your comment relates by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details	remevongminer and area T
Full Name	John Auric	da need not trempale valu
Address		
Postcode		7 2 2
Telephone		And the second s
Email		

	able)	- CARTON		
Position (if applicable)	ji 	Luna 4St O	204	
Date	delinialidad	June 1 st 2	J21	
ADTD				*
PART B				
Regulations	158		grangell off	
To which part of the do	ocument doe	s your repr	esentation relate?	
Paragraph Number	5.104		Policy Reference:	SB18 [and SB2]
aragraph Nambor	0.101	20	Tolloy Notorondo.	ob to [and ob2]
lo you support, oppos	se, or wish to	comment	on this paragraph?	(Please tick one answer)
		.0 .0 _		
Support 🗌 Sup	port with mod	difications [Oppose x	Have Comments
	9			
				·
				ke other comments her
community Feedback	 Key Matte 	r Priority 2	 access and road I 	<u>oridge.</u>
wo of the key objective				
mprove road safety and				
lowever, the Transport	Impact Study	states that	800 homes can be bı	uilt before a new bridge is
As the SN Plan Review				ms for the existing road
As the SN Plan Review				ms for the existing road
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the	n the east of e [proposed] l	the Plan are Ham Brook \	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and	ms for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the	n the east of e [proposed] l	the Plan are Ham Brook \	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and	ms for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg l	n the east of e [proposed] l Inlands Road	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and e and Furze Leaze L	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane.
As the SN Plan Review country lane network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg I fellow the SNP Review had on the street of the SNP Review had the street of the s	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the i	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and e and Furze Leaze L housing to the west	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had could read and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane.
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had could read and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had could read and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network of reatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lowers the SNP Review had only so and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or preatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had only road and railway bri	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r dge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new ave to be cor	a and furthermore wi Wildlife Corridor and a e and Furze Leaze La housing to the west enstructed before any	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg left the SNP Review had only so road and railway brithere is no current access	n the east of e [proposed] I Inlands Road opted for the r idge would ha	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new we to be cor 9 on that sid	a and furthermore wind wildlife Corridor and e and Furze Leaze Lea	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r
country lane] network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg left the SNP Review had only road and railway brithere is no current access.	n the east of e [proposed] I lands Road opted for the ridge would has to the A259	the Plan are Ham Brook \ , Cooks Lan mass of new live to be core on that sid live mas would years woul	a and furthermore wind wildlife Corridor and e and Furze Leaze Land housing to the west estructed before any e of Southbourne.	ems for the existing road Il threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the r new homes were built as
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg left the SNP Review had only so road and railway brithere is no current access the extension of the existing residents of existing residents.	n the east of e [proposed] I lands Road opted for the radge would has to the A25s on the modification on the possible of the possible	the Plan are Ham Brook No., Cooks Lan mass of new lave to be core on that side in this Plan land mass of the would year this Plan land mass of the would year land mass of the would year land mass would year land which was a land which we would year land which was a land which which was a land which was a land which which was a land which which was	a and furthermore windlife Corridor and eand Furze Leaze Lea	ems for the existing road II threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the range homes were built as option for mass housing
As the SN Plan Review country lane] network or greatly the viability of the existing residents of eg lefthe SNP Review had colly road and railway brighere is no current access.	n the east of e [proposed] I lands Road opted for the radge would has to the A25s on the modification on the possible of the possible	the Plan are Ham Brook No., Cooks Lan mass of new lave to be core on that side in this Plan land mass of the would year this Plan land mass of the would year land mass of the would year land mass would year land which was a land which we would year land which was a land which which was a land which was a land which which was a land which which was	a and furthermore windlife Corridor and eand Furze Leaze Lea	ems for the existing road II threaten impact negatively on ane. of Stein Road, then the range homes were built as option for mass housing

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/addressed or attached.