# Liz Pulley

From:

Amanda Sutton < Amanda. Sutton@neamesutton.co.uk>

Sent:

08 June 2018 13:19

To:

Neighbourhood Planning

Subject:

Boxgrove Reg 16 Neighbourhood Plan

**Attachments:** 

Reg16 Boxgrove Response Form.pdf; Boxgrove NP Representation Letter 8th June

2018.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached representations made on behalf of my Clients Mr & Mrs Parry in respect of the Regulation 16 Boxgrove Neighbourhood Plan.

Kind regards

Amanda

AMANDA SUTTON

DIRECTOR

M. 07967 502536

T. 02392 597139

E. amanda.sutton@neamesutton.co.uk

W. www.neamesutton.co.uk

A. West Suite, Coles Yard Barn, North Lane, Clanfield, Hampshire, PO8 ORN



Company Registration No. 07164666. Registered Office is at 1 & 2 The Barn, West Stoke Road, Chichester, West Sussex, PO18 9AA

This email and any attachments are strictly confidential and intended solely for the addressee. It may contain information which is privileged. If you are not the intended addressee, you must not disclose, forward, copy or take any action in reliance of this email or any attachments.

#### DISCLAIMER

Neame Sutton Ltd has taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, however, this email and any attachments may contain software viruses which could damage your system. We cannot accept liability for any damage which you sustain as a result of software viruses and advise that you carry our your own virus check.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

Communications on or through Chichester District Councils computer systems may be monitored or recorded to secure effective system operation and for other lawful purposes.					



# **Representation Form**

# Boxgrove Neighbourhood Development Plan

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 - Regulation 16

Boxgrove Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Development Plan. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Boxgrove Neighbourhood Development Plan and supporting documents are available to view on the District Council's website: <a href="http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan">http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan</a>.

# All comments must be received by 5:00pm on 8 June 2018.

# There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Neighbourhood Planning, East Pallant House, 1 East
   Pallant, Chichester PO19 1TY

<u>Important Note:</u> <u>All comments</u> will be publicly available, and identifiable by name and organisation (where applicable). Please note that any other personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the Data Protection Act 1998.

## How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

PART A Your Details			
Full Name	Amanda Sutton of Neame Sutton Ltd o/b Mr & Mrs Parry		
Address	Neame Sutton Ltd Coles Yard Barn North Lane Clanfield Hampshire		

Postcode	PO8 0RN		
Telephone	02392 597139		
Email	Amanda.sutton@neamesutton.co.uk		
Organisation (if applicable)	Neame Sutton Ltd on behalf of Mr & Mrs Parry		
Position (if applicable)	Director		
Date	8 <sup>th</sup> June 2018		

# PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

Paragraph N	lumber	Policy R	Reference:	H5 Small Development Sites
Do you suppo	ort, oppose, or wish to co	omment on this para	agraph? (P	Please tick one answer)
Support	Support with modific	ations Oppose	e X	Have Comments
Please give	details of your reasons t	or support/oppositi	ion, or mal	ce other comments here:
Please see s	eparate letter.			
		(C	ontinue on	separate sheet if necessary)

Please see separate letter.		
	(	(Continue a sparate sheet if necessary)

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/ addressed or attached.

#### BY EMAIL ONLY to:

neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk



Dear Sir/Madam

### **BOXGROVE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN REGULATION 16 SUBMISSION PLAN DECEMBER 2017** REPRESENTATIONS ON BEHALF OF MR AND MRS PARRY, PRIORY COTTAGE, THE STREE, BOXGROVE, PO10 OFF

I write with reference to Boxgrove Parish Council's emerging Neighbourhood Plan, which is currently the subject of public consultation.

#### 1.0 Introduction:

Neame Sutton Limited, Chartered Town Planners, is instructed by Mr and Max Parry to submit representations in respect of the Boxgrove Neighbourhood Plan 2015-2031, Regulation 16 Submission version (dated December 2017).

#### 2.0 Background:

The Localism Act 2011, introduced neighbourhood planning to give local community the opportunity to take a more active role in shaping their future by setting out a vision for their community.

In order that they may become part of the Statutory Development Plan, and thus research for the purposes of decision making of planning applications, Neighbourhood Plans must meet a number of basic conditions in order to comply with legislation (paragraph 8 (1)(a) of Schedue 48 to the Town and Country Planning Act specifically refers), tested by an Independent Examiner.

In order to meet the basic conditions, a Neighbourhood Plan must:

- Have regard to national policies;
- Contribute to the achievement of sustainable development;
- Be in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan; and
- Be compatible with EU obligations and human rights requirements.

It is considered that as currently drafted, the Neighbourhood Plan is not capable of support, as it has failed to meet some of these basic conditions.

NEAME SUTTON PLANNING LTD, West Suite, Coles Yard Barn, North Lane, Clanfield, Handrie, PO8 0RN

#### 3.0 Areas of Concern for the soundness of the Neighbourhood Plan:

#### (i) Amount of housing proposed:

As a family with growing children my Clients fully acknowledge, and endorse, the need to ensure that communities adequately plan to meet the future needs of its residents, and as such supports the principle of a Boxgrove Neighbourhood Plan.

However, it is equally important to make sure that this is balanced with the need to preserve and enhance the special environmental qualities of the village.

As currently drafted the Boxgrove Neighbourhood Plan goes beyond meeting the luture needs of Boxgrove for the period up to 2029 without any reasoned justification.

Policy 5 of the Adopted Chichester Local Plan: Key Policies 2014-2029, states that small scale housing sites will be identified to address the specific needs of local communities, as defined by the District's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA), and in relation to Boxgrove identifies the need to allocate sufficient site/s to deliver a total of 25 dwellings in the period 2017 to 2029.

This level of development is derived from a detailed assessment of the housing potential and capacity of individual parishes and settlements undertaken by Chichester District Council. This analysis has considered the size and character of individual settlements, levels of local housing need, the availability of everyday services and facilities, and levels of accessibility and public transport. It has also taken account of known development constraints and potential sites in each parish (paragraph 7.25 of the Adopted Local Plan specifically refers).

Furthermore, it reflects the fact that new housing should be directed primarily towards larger, more sustainable settlements. In this respect, Boxgrove is identified as a service village, which is a third tier settlement in the Council's settlement hierarchy after the sub-regional centre of Chichester City and settlement hubs of East Wittering/Bracklesham, Selsey, Southbourne and Tangmere, and is therefore consistent with the aims of achieving sustainable development, as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework).

The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges at Section 5.7 that the 25 homes allocated by the District Council in their Local Plan have been met by the appeal which was allowed at Land off Priors Acre for 22 dwellings on 26th May 2016 (APP/L3815/W/15/3138439), and subsequent infill developments.

The needs of Boxgrove until 2029 have therefore been adequately met in full.

My Client's share the view of Chichester District Council in their consultation requirement (as set out in the Consultation Statement), that "There is consultation overriding requirement for the parish to identify any further housing allocations".

Whilst it is accepted that the Parish Council may wish to allocate additional sites given that the Local Plan figure of 25 homes is not expressed as a maximum, there is no overriding restricting to justify the allocation of Site 7 – Land at the Old Grannary, which both Chichester Districtional and Historic England consider to be a sensitive site, as set out in Appendix A to the Consultation Solution.

#### (ii) Location of proposed housing sites:

Our Client's remain concerned that the Neighbourhood Plan does not reflect the mighty view of the community in terms of the location of proposed housing sites, and do not agree with the Rich Council's assertion (page 7, Consultation Statement) that site 7 – Land at the Old Group should remain allocated to reflect the wishes of the residents.

It is evident from the Site Assessment and Neighbourhood Plan surveys that the overhelding majority of residents voted in favour of land at Halnaker Crossing to accommodate the future meets of Boxgrove. However, this site has been omitted on the basis that the Parish Coundard not afford to undertake a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) to support the Neighbourhood flow. Whilst this is unfortunate, this is not a valid planning reason to omit a site from further consideration.

When all of the options available were considered by the community in January III. Is showed support for Site 7 compared to 72% for Site 2 at Halnaker Crossing.

Consequently, this approach fails to take account of the majority view of the comunity and as such fails to have regard to the objectives of Neighbourhood Planning, as set out in the framework at paragraph 184, which states that "Neighbourhood Planning provides a powerfust of tools for local people to ensure that they get the right types of development for their community".

(iii) Evidence base to support identification of Site 7 – Land at the **OdGaray**.

It is noted that since the first iteration of the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Neighbarhod Flan was published in May 2017, a Heritage Impact Assessment has been retrospectively ariest at by Whaleback (August 2017) to now support the proposed allocation of 3-6 dwellings and west of The Old Granary.

The Heritage Report examines the setting of the site within its context and this is gratically illustrated on the Site Setting Map on page 6.

However, this map has omitted those trees along the site frontage onto The Street and also those trees along the access road to the Old Granary, which it is argued contribute to the sites character and appearance and this part of Boxgrove as you enter the village. Accordingly, they too should be identified as important tree groups on the map.

Furthermore, the Report at page 21 seeks to assess the impact of the proposed development, as far as it can, acknowledging that the development "has not yet been designed so the effects cannot be assessed".

However, the diagram on page 21 shows a proposed layout with 2 single storey buildings arranged as an agricultural yard situated to the rear of the site and a 2 storey dwelling sited on The Street's frontage.

The inclusion of a 2 storey dwelling sited on The Street's frontage is contrary to Policy H3 which seeks to allocate the site for a "courtyard of 3-6 single storey residences with gardens and screened parking."

The 2 storey dwelling does not therefore form part of the proposals for the site and should therefore be deleted. Indeed, my Clients have been consistently advised by the Parish Council's advisor that any development that takes place on this site will be restricted to single storey.

#### (iv) Policy H5 proposed wording:

Notwithstanding our Client's assertion that site 7 is not necessary to meet future housing needs up to 2029 and should therefore be deleted, in the event that the site remains within the Planthen our Client's support the views of Chichester District Council that the Policy would benefit by the inclusion of "additional criteria to protect the characteristics of the site and mitigate any potential impact development proposals may have. Any such policy, for example, could use the findings of the heritage impact assessment to inform a more detailed and robust policy that would seek to enhance and not detract from the significance of the identified heritages assets in this sensitive location."

Whilst it is noted that the Parish Council has amended the policy wording to include a requirement for the design and layout of any development to reflect the historic sensitivities of the area, which is supported by our Clients, it is suggested that the Policy should also include the requirement to:

- retain those trees along the site frontage onto The Street and also those trees along the access road to the Old Granary, which it is argued contribute to the sites character and appearance and this part of Boxgrove as you enter the village; and
- ensure any development is set back within the rear of the site to protect the key view across the site as identified in the Conservation Area Appraisal.

I trust the above representations are in order. Should you have an additional information then please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kind regards

Yours sincerely

AMANDA SUTTON

DIRECTOR

amanda.sutton@neamesutton.co.uk