

Liz Pulley

From: Greg Stevenson [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2015 15:15
To: PlanningPolicy
Subject: Neighbourhood Plan - Wisborough Green
Attachments: Wisborough_Green_response_form.doc

Dear Sirs

Please find attached document with our comments re Winterfold, link:-

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan#wisborough>

Greg Stevenson / Jenny Reilly

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

Communications on or through Chichester District Councils computer systems may be monitored or recorded to secure effective system operation and for other lawful purposes.



Representation Form

Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations
2012 - Regulation 16

Wisborough Green Parish Council has prepared a Neighbourhood Plan. The plan sets out a vision for the future of the parish and planning policies which will be used to determine planning applications locally.

Copies of the Wisborough Green Neighbourhood Plan and supporting documents are available to view on the District Council's website: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplan>.

All comments must be received by 5:00pm on 11th June 2015.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Complete this form on your computer and email it to: neighbourhoodplanning@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: **Neighbourhood Planning, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester PO19 1TY**

All comments will be publicly available, and identifiable by name and organisation (where applicable). Please note that any other personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the Data Protection Act 1998.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full, in order for your representation to be taken into account at the Neighbourhood Plan examination.

Please complete Part B overleaf, identifying which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

PART A	Your Details
Full Name	Greg Stevenson
Address	The Nook Durbans Road Wisborough Green
Postcode	RH14 0DG
Telephone	
Email	
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Position (if applicable)	N/A
Date	15/06/2015

PART B

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph Number	Not Specific	Policy Reference:	SS4
------------------	--------------	-------------------	-----

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this paragraph? (Please tick one answer)

Support Support with modifications Oppose Have Comments

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments here:

We do not oppose the need for additional housing within the village. However, we do question the need to develop this greenfield site in preference to the other two 'brownfield' sites.

Of *particular* concern is access to the development, whether that be to Durbans Road or to Newpound Lane.

Our property is a couple of doors away from the Cricketers Arms public house, and (particularly in Summer), but certainly every weekend, Durbans Road is effectively a single track road, with cars parked far past Winterfold. Although we have our own driveway, we often find it more or less impossible to gain access to / leave it when the pub is open, due to vehicles being parked opposite. Additionally, there are fair number of 'stand-offs' between drivers who refuse to give way and/or reluctant to back up 150 metres.

There have been a number of accidents at the crossroads within the village, culminating in the tragic accident 3 years ago where a teenager lost their life and others suffered life changing injuries.

The speed of traffic using Durbans Road as a cut through to the B2133 is often substantially above the 30mph limit, and in quite a lot of cases, excessively so. We do feel that an additional access/exit point within an already congested road, will only – eventually – result in another tragic episode.

The situation in Newpound Lane is equally acute given its proximity to the Junior School, as it is a single track road and is by definition, a high risk zone for exuberant youngsters, who are not always the easiest to control, who through no fault of their own, find themselves at risk. It cannot be correct that any additional access would be granted in this location.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

I would prefer to see development where a) it is an existing Brownfield site as this is in any case preferred Government policy, and will those existing sites be allowed to deteriorate further? b) access to/from the site is safe: I cannot see under the existing proposal that either of these are satisfied.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

If you have additional representations feel free to include additional pages. Please make sure any additional pages are clearly labelled/ addressed or attached.