Chichester District Council Annual Audit Letter

Year ending 31 March 2014

October 2014





Ernst & Young LLP Wessex House 19 Threefiled Lane Southampton SO 14 3QB Tel: + 44 2380 382000 Fax: + 44 2380 382001 ey.com

The Members
Chichester District Council
East Pallant House
1 East Pallant
Chichester
West Sussex
PO19 1YT

22 October 2014

Dear Members,

Annual Audit Letter

The purpose of this Annual Audit Letter is to communicate to the Members of Chichester District Council and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to their attention.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work to the Corporate Governance & Audit Committee in our Audit Results Report issued on 25 September 2014

The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the officers of the Council for their assistance during the course of our work.

Yours faithfully

Paul King
For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP
Enc

Contents

Executive summary	1
Key findings	3
Control themes and observations	5

In March 2010 the Audit Commission issued a revised version of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' (Statement of responsibilities). It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the <u>Audit Commission's website</u>.

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the Audit Commission's appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The Standing Guidance serves as our terms of appointment as auditors appointed by the Audit Commission. The Standing Guidance sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the Code of Audit Practice 2010 (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

Executive summary

Our 2013/14 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan we issued on 26 June 2014 and is conducted in accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission.

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its Statement of Accounts, accompanied by the Annual Governance Statement. In the Annual Governance Statement, the Council reports publicly on an annual basis on the extent to which they comply with their own code of governance, including how they have monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of their governance arrangements in the year, and on any planned changes in the coming period. The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- forming an opinion on the financial statements;
- reviewing the Annual Governance Statement;
- forming a conclusion on the arrangements that the Council has in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources; and
- undertaking any other work specified by the Audit Commission.

Summarised below are the conclusions from all elements of our work:

Audit the financial statements of Chichester District Council for the financial year ended 31 March 2014 in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland)	On 26 September 2014 we issued an unqualified audit opinion in respect of the Council.
Form a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has made for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.	On 26 September 2014 we issued an unqualified value for money conclusion.
Issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council (the Corporate Governance & Audit Committee) communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	On 25 September 2014 we issued our Audit Results Report in respect of the Council.
Report to the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack the Authority is required to prepare for the Whole of Government Accounts.	We reported our findings to the National Audit Office on 26 September 2014.
Consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's Annual Governance Statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work and consider whether it complies with CIPFA / SOLACE guidance.	No issues to report.
Consider whether, in the public interest, we should make a report on any matter coming to our notice in the course of the	No issues to report.

audit.

Determine whether any other action should be taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act.	No issues to report
ssue a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Practice issued by the Audit Commission.	On 26 September we issued our audit completion certificate.
ssue a report to those charged with governance of the Authority summarising the certification (of grant claims and returns) work that we have undertaken.	We plan to issue our annual certification report for 2013/14 to those charged with governance in January 2015 when our work in this area is complete.

Key findings

Financial statement audit

We audited the Council's Statement of Accounts in line with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission. We issued an unqualified audit report on 26 September 2014.

In our view, the quality of the process for producing the accounts, including the supporting working papers was good.

The main issues identified as part of our audit were:

Significant risk 1: Risk of management override

Risk:

Management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has put in place a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud. Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud.

Results:

There are no significant issues that we need to report to you.

Significant risk 2: National Non-Domestic Rates (NNDR) rateable value appeals provision

Risk:

The Business Rates Retention Scheme came into force on 1 April 2013. Under the scheme a proportion of the business rates collected by councils are retained locally and half paid over to central government. The potential cost of successful rateable value appeals is significant to the Council. There is also a high level of estimation uncertainty in determining an accurate provision for the cost in the financial statements.

Our work focussed on reviewing the accounting transactions made and assessing the reasonableness of the estimation made.

Results:

We are satisfied that the Council included a reasonable provision in its financial statements. However, our work highlighted some improvements that can be made to the Council's approach to making the estimate that should be considered in the methodology for future years.

Value for money conclusion

We are required to carry out sufficient work to conclude on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

In accordance with guidance issued by the Audit Commission, in 2013/14 our conclusion was based on two criteria:

- The organisation has proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience; and
- ► The organisation has proper arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 26 September 2014. Our audit did not identify any significant matters.

Whole of government accounts

We reported to the National Audit office on 26 September 2014 the results of our work performed in relation the accuracy of the consolidation pack the Authority is required to prepare for the whole of government accounts. We did not identify any areas of concern.

Annual governance statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Authority's Annual Governance Statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it complies with CIPFA / SOLACE guidance. We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

Certification of grants claims and returns

We intend to present our Annual Certification Report for 2013/14 to those charged with governance in January 2015 when our work on 2013/14 grant claims is complete.

Control themes and observations

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we communicated to those charged with governance at the Authority, as required, significant deficiencies in internal control.

We identified no significant deficiencies in internal control. We raised two non-significant matters as part of our Audit Results Report.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com